

About entanglement properties of kaons and tests of hidden variables models

M.Genovese *

*Istituto Elettrotecnico Nazionale Galileo Ferraris, Str. delle Cacce 91,
I-10135 Torino.*

Abstract

In this paper we discuss entanglement properties of neutral kaons systems and their use for testing local realism. In particular we analyze a Hardy-type scheme [19] recently suggested for performing a test of hidden variable theories against standard quantum mechanics. Our result is that this scheme could in principle led to a conclusive test of local realism, but only if higher identification efficiencies than in today experiments will be reached.

PACS: 13.20.Eb, 03.65.Bz

Keywords: neutral kaons, Bell inequalities, Pseudoscalar mixing, non-locality, hidden variable theories

Since 1935, the celebrated paper of Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen [1] suggested that Quantum Mechanics (QM) could be an incomplete theory, representing a statistical approximation of a complete deterministic theory in which observable values are fixed by some hidden variable.

In 1964 Bell [2] discovered that any realistic Local Hidden Variable (LHV) theory must satisfy certain inequalities which can be violated in QM leading to the possibility of an

*genovese@ien.it. Tel. 39 011 3919253, fax 39 011 3919259

experimental test of the validity of QM as compared to LHV ¹.

Since then, many experiments (mainly based on entangled photon pairs) have been addressed to test Bell inequalities [4–7,9], leading to a substantial agreement with standard quantum mechanics (SQM) and strongly disfavours LHV theories. However, so far, no experiment has yet been able to exclude definitively such theories. In fact, up to now one has always been forced to introduce a further additional hypothesis [10,13], due to the low total detection efficiency, stating that the observed sample of particle pairs is a faithful subsample of the whole. This problem is known as *detection or efficiency loophole* and it has been shown that it could be eliminated only by reaching a detection efficiency of 0.81 when using maximally entangled states or 0.67 for non-maximally entangled ones [11]. Similarly the use of equalities [14,15] instead of Bell inequalities does not change the situation [13]. Thus, searching for new experimental configurations able to overcome the detection loophole is of course of the greatest interest.

In the last years relevant progresses in this direction have been realised by using Parametric Down Conversion (a process where a UV photon decays in a pair of correlated photons of lower frequency inside a non-linear crystal) for generating entangled photon pairs with high angular correlation. The generation of entangled states by PDC has replaced other techniques, such as the radiative decay of excited atomic states, as it was in the celebrated experiment of A. Aspect et al. [4], for it overcomes some former limitations and in particular allows a much larger efficiency. Many interesting experiments have been realised using such a technique [5–9,12]. However, even if an efficiency of 30% [7] was approached, the limit of a detection loophole free test of LHVT remains far.

A recent experiment [16] based on the use of Be ions has reached very high efficiencies (around 98 %), but in this case the two subsystems (the two ions) are not really separated systems during the measurement and the test cannot be considered a real implementation

¹Bell theorem does not concern non-local hidden variables theories. For a recent progress toward an experimental verification of one of them see [3] and Ref.s therein.

of a detection loophole free test of Bell inequalities, even if it represents a relevant progress in this sense.

Even if little doubts remain on the validity of the standard quantum mechanics, considering the fundamental importance of the question, the search for other experimental schemes for a definitive test of local realism is therefore of the utmost interest.

In the last years many papers [17] have been devoted to study the possibility of realising such a test by the use of pseudoscalar meson pairs as $K\bar{K}$ or $B\bar{B}$. If the pair is produced by the decay of a particle at rest in the laboratory frame (as the ϕ at *Daφne*), the two particles can be easily separated to a relatively large distance allowing a space-like separation of the two subsystems and permitting an easy elimination of the space-like loophole, i.e. realising two completely space-like separated measurements on the two subsystems (where the space-like separation must include the setting of the experimental apparatuses too). A very low noise is expected as well.

The idea is to use entangled states (i.e. non factorizable in single particle states) of the form:

$$\begin{aligned} |\Psi\rangle &= \frac{|K^0(\vec{p})\rangle|\bar{K}^0(-\vec{p})\rangle - |\bar{K}^0(\vec{p})\rangle|K^0(-\vec{p})\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} = \\ &= \frac{|K_L(\vec{p})\rangle|K_S(-\vec{p})\rangle - |K_S(\vec{p})\rangle|K_L(-\vec{p})\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where $\vec{p}$ is the momentum in the centre of mass frame.

Claims that these experiments could allow the elimination of the detection loophole in view of the high efficiency of particles detectors, have also been made. However, we have shown [18] that due to the necessity of identifying the state through some of its decays and since the decay channel can depend on the value of the hidden variables, the detection loophole appears in this case as well.

In a recent paper [19] a new scheme was proposed which seems to overcome the objections of Ref. [18]. Considering the possible large relevance of this result for future experiments, in this paper, after having discussed some general properties of K entangled states, we consider

critically this proposal. Our result is that this scheme represents an interesting alternative test of LHVT, however it could really overcome the detection loophole only if larger identification efficiencies of K^0 and $\bar{K}^0$ than the ones available in nowadays experiments will be reached.

The main idea of Ref. [19] is generating a non-maximally entangled state:

$$|\Phi\rangle = \frac{|K_S\rangle|K_L\rangle - |K_L\rangle|K_S\rangle + R|K_L\rangle|K_L\rangle + R'|K_S\rangle|K_S\rangle}{\sqrt{2 + |R|^2 + |R'|^2}} \quad (2)$$

where $R = -\text{rexp}[-(i\Delta m + (\Gamma_S - \Gamma_L)/2)T]$ and $R' = -r^2/R$, Δm is the difference between K_L and K_S masses, whilst Γ_S , Γ_L are their respective decay widths.

This can be obtained either by placing a regenerator slab on the path of kaons pairs produced in ϕ decays, where r is the regeneration parameter, or by considering kaons produced in $p\bar{p}$ annihilation at rest, where r measures the relative strength of p to s wave channels.

Selecting the K_S surviving after $T = 10\tau_S$ one obtains the non-maximally entangled state (neglecting the small $|R'| < 7 \cdot 10^{-3}|r|$)

$$|\Phi\rangle = \frac{R|K^0\rangle|K^0\rangle + R|\bar{K}^0\rangle|\bar{K}^0\rangle + (2 - R)|\bar{K}^0\rangle|K^0\rangle - (2 + R)|K^0\rangle|\bar{K}^0\rangle}{2\sqrt{2 + |R|^2}} \quad (3)$$

Varying R this state ranges from a maximally entangled one (for $R=0$) to various degree of non-maximal entanglement. For a pure state, entanglement can be quantified by looking to the von Neumann entropy, $S(\rho) = -\text{Tr}[\rho \log_2 \rho]$, of the reduced density matrix ρ of one of the two particles: a maximally entangled state corresponds to $S = 1$ and a disentangled to $S = 0$. The values of S for the system of Eq. 3 are plotted in Fig.1 in function of the real and imaginary parts of R^2 . Incidentally, the possibility of realising different entangled states by manipulating the initial one is of large relevance for quantum information purposes (as studies on decoherence), since entanglement is the main resource for this field [22].

Then one selects, with an appropriate choice of parameters, the case $R = -1$ (corresponding to $S = 0.59$), for which QM predicts the probabilities of joint detection:

²For the state of Eq. 2 at $T = 0$ one has $S = 0$ if r could reach ± 1 .

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{QM}(K^0, \bar{K}^0) &= \eta\eta'/12 \\
P_{QM}(K^0, K_L) &= 0 \\
P_{QM}(K_L, \bar{K}^0) &= 0 \\
P_{QM}(K_S, K_S) &= 0
\end{aligned}
\tag{4}$$

where η and η' are the detection efficiencies for identifying K^0 and $\bar{K}^0$ respectively.

In a hidden variable model with distribution $\rho(a)$ of the hidden variable (or variables set) a the probability of observing a K^0 to the left and $\bar{K}^0$ to the right is:

$$P_{LR}(K^0, \bar{K}^0) = \int da \rho(a) p_l(K^0|a) p_r(\bar{K}^0|a) = \eta\eta'/12 \leq \int_{A_{0,\bar{0}}} da \rho(a) \tag{5}$$

where $p_l(K^0|a), p_r(\bar{K}^0|a)$ are the single kaon probabilities of detecting a K^0 to the left and $\bar{K}^0$ to the right respectively and $A_{0,\bar{0}}$ is the set of hidden variables corresponding to a K^0 to the left and $\bar{K}^0$ to the right.

In a LHVT the necessity of reproducing Eq. 4 requires that if a K^0 ($\bar{K}^0$) is observed to the left (right) a K_S propagates to the right (left). Thus one has $p_l(K_S|a) = 1, p_r(K_S|a) = 1$ if a belongs to $A_{0,\bar{0}}$. This is at variance with QM predictions 4, since:

$$P_{LR}(K_S, K_S) = \int da \rho(a) p_l(K_S|a) p_r(K_S|a) \geq \int_{A_{0,\bar{0}}} da \rho(a) \tag{6}$$

This result seems therefore to show that even if the detection efficiency of strangeness eigenstates is small, nevertheless LHVT can be tested without any additional hypothesis if the K_S, K_L can be determined with perfect efficiency. Experimentally, this determination is realised by looking to the decay between time $T_0 = 10\tau_S$, where the state 3 is produced, and time T_1 such that a negligible K_L contribution to decays used for tagging K_S is still expected in the interval.

Of course, this result would be of the largest relevance because of the possibility of realising this test at ϕ factories as *Daφne*.

However, this discussion does not consider that in a deterministic theory hidden variables could also fix the channel of decay and the precise time of decay.

Therefore, Eq. 6 becomes:

$$P_{LR}(K_S, K_S) = \sum_C \int_{T_0}^{T_1} dt \sum_{C'} \int_{T_0}^{T_1} dt' \int da \rho(a) p_l(K_S|a) p_r(K_S|a) p_{l,C}(t|a) p_{r,C'}(t'|a) \quad (7)$$

where C and C' run over the different decay channels (allowing an identification of K_S) and $p_{i,C}(t|a)$ gives the probability of the $i = l, r$ (left, right) meson to decay into the channel C at time t .

Let us now consider how this modifies the discussion concerning Eq. 6. If the efficiencies η and η' of K^0 and $\bar{K}^0$ detection were high, the situation would not substantially change. However, unluckily, they are very small. The method for this detection consists [23] in looking to distinct interaction of K^0 and $\bar{K}^0$ with matter (interaction and therefore identification that in principle could depend on the hidden variables value): this led in Ref. [23] to the identification of 70 unlike-strangeness events and 19 like-strangeness events over $8 \cdot 10^7$ analyzed events! Thus, the few K^0 - $\bar{K}^0$ identified events could easily correspond to K_S which would not have decayed in the temporal window that allows their identification and thus could not contribute to the integral 7 (on the other hand if η and η' were sufficiently large this would not be possible). Furthermore, it must also be considered that $|K_S\rangle$ and $|K_L\rangle$ are not perfectly orthogonal, for $\langle K_S|K_L\rangle = 3.3 \cdot 10^{-3}$ [20]. This means that a fraction of K_S in the LHVT belongs to a hidden variable set corresponding to decays characteristic of K_L (and vice versa), giving a further fraction of states not contributing to $P_{LR}(K_S, K_S)$ as defined in Eq. 7. Albeit very small this contribution cannot be neglected due to the small value of $P_{LR}(K^0, \bar{K}^0) = \eta\eta'/12$.

In summary, the small fraction of simultaneously identified left K^0 and right $\bar{K}^0$ could be easily accounted for, in a LHVT, by a fraction of K_S that does not decay in an identifiable form, since they decay outside of the temporal window $T_0 < T < T_1$ or in an allowed channel for K_L , as three pions or pion, lepton, neutrino.

From a numerical point of view, let us consider the optimistic case where all kaons decayed before the time $T = 10\tau_s$ are identified and therefore the surviving K of a pair where one of members decayed does not represent a background: the background contribution from CP violating decays of K_L to specific K_S decays (used to tag them) becomes a 50% of the

one due to *true* K_S contribution in the interval $21\tau_S < t < 22\tau_S$ and it is 1.35 times larger than K_S contribution in the interval $22\tau_S < t < 23\tau_S$. This means that T_1 must be kept smaller than $\approx 21\tau_S$. At $T = T_1 = 21\tau_S$ a fraction $1.5 \cdot 10^{-5}$ of the K_S present at T_0 is still undecayed and a fraction $7.2 \cdot 10^{-4}$ is decayed in channels such that they could not be identified as K_S . This gives the possibility of including in this set all the K_S pairs that would correspond to identified left K^0 and right $\bar{K}^0$, if the identification efficiency of K^0 , $\bar{K}^0$ is smaller of this amount, as for the experiment [23]. Namely, since not all the K_S are identified and since hidden variables can be related also to decay channel and time (and therefore to the possibility of identifying the K_S), an experimental falsification of LHVT would require that

$$P^{Measured}(K^0, \bar{K}^0) = \eta\eta'/12 > 7.3 \cdot 10^{-4}. \quad (8)$$

On the other hand, if inequality 8 is not verified, the hidden variable set corresponding to the observed $(K^0, \bar{K}^0)$ could correspond to K_S pairs which would not be observed as such and then do not contribute to an experimentally detectable difference between SQM and a LHVT.

The experimental realization of inequality 8 (i.e. $\eta \approx \eta' > 9\%$) seems very difficult to be obtained.

A similar result can also be obtained, with a somehow different argument, rewriting the Equations 4 in the Clauser-Horne like inequality:

$$P(K^0, \bar{K}^0) > P(K^0, K_L) + P(K_L, \bar{K}^0) + P(K_S, K_S) \quad (9)$$

and considering the possibility of a misidentification of a K_S or K_L

The experimental measured probabilities become:

$$\begin{aligned} P_{Measured}(K^0, \bar{K}^0) &= \eta\eta'/12 \\ P_{Measured}(K^0, K_L) &= (\eta m_S)/6 \\ P_{Measured}(K_L, \bar{K}^0) &= (\eta' m_S)/6 \\ P_{Measured}(K_S, K_S) &= \frac{2}{3}m_L + \frac{1}{3}m_L^2 \end{aligned}$$

(10)

where m_S ($\approx 7.3 \cdot 10^{-4}$ from the previous discussion) and m_L ($\approx 5.7 \cdot 10^{-5}$ due to two pions decays in the time interval $T_0 < t < T_1$) correspond to the probability of misidentification of a K_S or a K_L respectively.

Inserting Eq. 10 in 9 and assuming $\eta = \eta'$ it follows that an experimental violation of the inequality 9 requires $\eta = \eta' > 2.3 \cdot 10^{-2}$ (where the effect due to m_L dominates).

From the previous discussion follows that the observation of $P_{LR}(K_S, K_S) = 0$ in presence of a small $P_{LR}(K^0, \bar{K}^0) \neq 0$ is not sufficient for rejecting in general every conceivable LHVT if the identification efficiency of K^0 and $\bar{K}^0$ is not sufficiently high. On the other hand, in the proposed scheme, one cannot hope to increase the $K^0, \bar{K}^0$ detection efficiency by simply increasing strongly the width of the interposed materials since the $K^0, \bar{K}^0$ measurement must be performed in a small time interval around T_0 before the coefficient R of the state 3 changes substantially. Therefore, an eventual experiment addressed to use this scheme for a conclusive test of local realism should be very carefully planned in order to satisfy all the discussed requests and in particular a high $K^0, \bar{K}^0$ detection efficiency.

In conclusions we have shown that entangled neutral kaons states represent a very interesting physical system for studying entanglement and for stating severe limits to hidden variable models. However, because of the results of Ref. [18], most of the previous proposals [17] are not suitable for a conclusive loophole free test of Local Hidden Variable Theories against Standard Quantum Mechanics. Furthermore, the results of this paper show that, considering the possible dependence of channel and time of decay on hidden variables, the recent scheme of Ref. [19] could in principle led to a conclusive test, but only if higher identification efficiencies of K^0 and $\bar{K}^0$ will be reached.

Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge support by MURST contract 2001023718-002. We thank the anonymous referees for useful suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Einstein, B. Podolsky and N. Rosen, Phys. Rev. 47 (1935) 77.
- [2] J.S. Bell, Physics 1 (1965) 195.
- [3] G. Brida et al., J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 35 (2002) 4751; Phys. Rev. A 68 (2003) 033803.
- [4] A. Aspect et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 49 (1982) 1804.
- [5] J. P. Franson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 62 (1989) 2205.
- [6] J. G. Rarity and P. R. Tapster, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64 (1990) 2495;
J. Brendel et al., Eur.Phys.Lett. 20 (1992) 275; P. G. Kwiat et al., Phys. Rev. A 41 (1990) 2910; W. Tittel et al, quant-ph 9806043.
- [7] T.E. Kiess et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 71 (1993) 3893; P.G. Kwiat et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 75 (1995) 4337.
- [8] Z.J. Ou and L. Mandel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 61 (1988) 50; Y.H.Shih et al., Phys. Rev. A 47 (1993) 1288.
- [9] G. Brida, M. Genovese, C. Novero and E. Predazzi, Phys. Lett. A 268 (2000) 12; Phys. Lett. A 299 (2002) 121.
- [10] E. Santos, Phys. Lett. A 212 (1996) 10; L. De Caro and A. Garuccio, Phys. Rev. A 54 (1996) 174 and references therein.
- [11] P. H. Eberhard, Phys. Rev. A 47 (1993) R747.
- [12] J.R.Torgerson et al., Phys. Lett. A 204 (1995) 323; G.Di Giuseppe, F.De Martini and D.Boschi, Physical Review A 56 (1997) 176; D.Boschi, S.Branca, F.De Martini and L.Hardy, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79 (1998) 2755.
- [13] A. Garuccio, Phys. Rev. A 52 (1995) 2535.
- [14] L. Hardy, Phys. Rev. Lett. 68 (1992) 2981.

- [15] J.-W. Pan et al., *Nature* 403 (2000) 515; J.R.Torgerson et al., Phys. Lett. A 204 (1995) 323; G.Di Giuseppe, F.De Martini, and D.Boschi, Phys. Rev. A 56 (1997) 176; D.Boschi, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 79 (1998) 2755.
- [16] M. A. Rowe et al., *Nature* 409 (2001) 791.
- [17] P.H. Eberhard, Nucl. Phys. B 398 (1993) 155; A. Di Domenico, Nucl. Phys. B 450 (1995) 293; B. Ancochea, Phys. Rev. D 60 (1999) 094008; A. Bramon and M. Nowakowski, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83 (1999) 1; N. Gisin and A. Go, Am.J.Phys. 69 (2001) 264; B.C. Hiesmayr, hep-ph0010108; P. Privitera and F. Selleri, Phys. Lett. B 296 (1992) 261; F. Selleri, Phys. Rev. A 56 (1997) 3493; A. Pompili and F. Selleri, Eur. Phys. Journ. C 14 (2000) 469; A.Bramon and G.Garbarino, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88 (2002) 040403.
- [18] M.Genovese et al., Phys. Lett. B 513 (01) 401; Foud. Of Phys. 32 (2002) 589.
- [19] A.Bramon and G.Garbarino, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89 (2002) 160401.
- [20] Particle data book, K.Hagiwara et al.,Phys. Rev. D 66 (2002) 010001.
- [21] R.A. Bertlmann and W. Grimus, Phys. Lett. B 392 (1997) 426; Phys. Rev. D 58 (1998) 034014; G.V. Dass and K.V.L. Sarma, Eur. Phys. J. C5 (1998) 283.
- [22] see for example: "Quantum Computation and Quantum Information", M. A. Nielsen and I.L. Chuang, ed. Cambridge Univ. Press (2000).
- [23] A. Apostolakis et al., Phys. Lett. B 422 (1998) 339.

Figures Captions

Fig.1 Three dimensional plot of the von Neumann entropy of the reduced density matrix in function of the real and imaginary parts of the parameter R of Eq. 3.

Fig.2 Histogram of the ratio of the CP-violating contribution from K_L decays over the contribution of K_S decays for pairs surviving at $T = 10\tau_s$ and for bins of $1\tau_s$ from $T = 18\tau_s$ to $T = 23\tau_s$.